EXHIBIT 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Henry C. Bunsow (SBN 60707) Denise De Mory (SBN 168076) Craig Y. Allison (SBN 161175) John Beynon (SBN 233581) Dino Hadzibegovic (SBN 267489) BUNSOW DE MORY LLP 701 El Camino Real Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone: (650) 351-7248 Facsimile: (415) 426-4744 Email: hbunsow@bdiplaw.com Email: ddemory@bdiplaw.com Email: callison@bdiplaw.com		
8	Email: jbeynon@bdiplaw.com Email: dhadzibegovic@bdiplaw.com		
9 10	Counsel for Non-Party FORTRESS INVESTMENT GROUP LLC		
11	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN JOS	E DIVISION	
14	VI CLTECIBIOLOGY LLC	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05671-BLF	
15	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC,		
16	Plaintiff,	OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF	
17	V.	SUBPOENA TO FORTRESS INVESTMENT GROUP LLC	
18	INTEL CORPORATION,		
19	Defendant.		
20			
21			
22			
2324			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	•		

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO FORTRESS INVESTMENT GROUP LLC

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05671-BLF

Pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Third Party Fortress Investment Group LLC ("Fortress") hereby objects and responds to Defendant Intel Corporation's ("Intel") Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action ("Subpoena").

INTRODUCTION

Fortress objects to the vagueness and the breadth of the subject matter of Intel's requests in its Subpoena, particularly in light of the short timeframe in which Intel demanded a response from a non-party, making Intel's requests unduly burdensome. Intel's Subpoena does not frame requests in a way allowing for them to be meaningfully responded to, nor are the requests drafted to avoid undue burden or hardship in responding. Fortress objects to the extent that Intel purports to require the collection or production of any materials that are not relevant to this litigation.

Any production or disclosure in this matter is made without waiver of any privilege or protection, is made subject to the Northern District of California model protective order for litigation involving patents (pursuant to Patent L.R. 2-2) until the parties in this case agree to the terms of a stipulated Protective Order, under which any documents produced by Fortress in this litigation will be subject.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

The following General Objections apply to, and are incorporated by reference in, each and every response to each specific request for production. Fortress's identification of one or more specific objections in any given response does not preclude the applicability of any of the General Objections. From time to time, these responses may repeat a General Objection for emphasis or other basis. The omission of any General Objection in any response is not intended to be and should not be construed as a waiver or limitation of any General Objection. Likewise, the inclusion of any additional specific objection in any response is not intended to be and should not be construed as a waiver or limitation of any General Objection.

1. Fortress objects to each request to the extent it seeks documents or information protected by: (a) the attorney-client privilege; (b) the work-product doctrine; (c) the common OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05671-BLF

6 7

8

5

9 10 11

13 14

12

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO FORTRESS INVESTMENT GROUP LLC

interest privilege; (d) a constitutional right to privacy; (e) a statutory privacy obligation; or (f) any other privilege or protection afforded by law. Such protected material may include the impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or theories of attorneys, whether or not communicated to their client, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. Any inadvertent production of information or documents subject to any such privilege or protection shall not be deemed a waiver of any privilege or protection with respect to such documents or information.

- 2. Fortress objects to each request to the extent that it seeks information protected by a nondisclosure agreement with a third party, or is subject to similar confidentiality requirements. Although the Parties have not yet executed a Confidentiality Agreement, to the extent that Fortress provides responses or information marked as Confidential or Attorneys' Eyes Only, such information is provided with the understanding that such items are to be treated in accordance with corresponding (or similar) provisions of the Confidentiality Agreement ultimately entered in this action.
- 3. Fortress objects to each request as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information beyond what is available from a reasonable search of files under the possession, custody, or control of Fortress likely to contain relevant or responsive documents and a reasonable inquiry of personnel that Fortress reasonably believes may have relevant knowledge of relevant or responsive documents.
- 4. Fortress objects to each request to the extent that it seeks the production of materials or documents in any manner that is inconsistent with the agreements set forth in the Joint Case Management Statement [Dkt. No. 41] and Case Management Order [Dkt. No. 44].
- 5. Fortress objects to each request to the extent that it is compound or duplicative of other requests.
- 6. Fortress objects to Intel's definitions and instructions to the extent that they purport to impose requirements that are different from, or in addition to, those imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of this Court, agreements set forth in the Joint Case Management Statement, the Case Management Order, or any other applicable rule or statute, and

will construe the requests in a manner consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of this Court, and the Parties' prior and subsequent Agreement(s).

- 7. Fortress objects to Intel's definitions and requests to the extent that they purport to alter the plain meaning and/or scope of any specific request, on the ground that such alteration renders the request vague, ambiguous, overly broad, and uncertain.
- 8. Fortress objects to each request to the extent that it purports to require the preparation of a privilege log for privileged documents generated after the filing of this lawsuit, or for communications between legal counsel for Fortress and Fortress, or work product documents that reflect work of counsel for Fortress, even if created before the filing date of the complaint, or which otherwise conflicts with the agreement set forth in the Joint Case Management Statement and Case Management Order. Inclusion of such material in any privilege log would be unduly burdensome and likely to reveal privileged or other protected information.
- 9. Fortress objects to each request to the extent that it seeks information that is not relevant to any claim or defense in this action or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 10. Fortress objects to the extent that any request seeks information beyond the geographic or temporal scope of this matter.
- 11. Fortress objects to Intel's requests to the extent that they seek expert analyses and opinions prior to the time for such disclosure, or seek to require Fortress to produce any draft reports or similar materials barred from discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4).
- 12. Fortress objects to each request to the extent that it seeks the disclosure of "all" information or documents on the basis that such request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive and not relevant to any claim or defense of any party to this action.
- 13. Fortress objects to Intel's requests to the extent they are vague, ambiguous, overly broad, fail to reasonably identify the information sought, unduly burdensome, and posed for improper purposes, including, without limitation, embarrassment, undue annoyance, harassment, delay, or to increase the expense of litigation or to the extent they call for legal conclusion or opinion.

- 14. Fortress objects to Intel's requests to the extent that they seek information that is a matter of public record or is equally available to or readily ascertainable by Intel from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive. To the extent that a discovery request seeks publicly available information, Fortress will produce publicly available information within its possession, custody, or control, but will not conduct public records searches for documents or information.
- 15. Any response by Fortress shall not be construed as providing or agreeing to any legal conclusion regarding the meaning or application of any terms or phrases used in any of Intel's requests, definitions, or instructions. Nothing shall be construed herein as an admission regarding the admissibility or relevance of any information or document.
- 16. Fortress objects to each request to the extent that it seeks information or the identification of documents that are not within the possession, custody, or control of Fortress, or refers to persons, entities, or events not known to Fortress, subjecting Fortress to unreasonable and undue annoyance, oppression, burden, and expense, and imposing upon them an obligation to discover information or materials from third parties or services who are equally accessible to Intel.
- 17. Fortress objects to each request to the extent that it requests Fortress to prepare any document or other information that does not already exist.
- 18. Fortress objects to Intel's definitions of "Fortress," "You," and "Your" as overly broad and unduly burdensome and to the extent that they purport to require a response on behalf of any corporate entity other than Fortress, the party to this proceeding. Fortress further objects on the grounds that Intel purports to extend Requests containing these terms to cover information outside the possession, custody or control of the Fortress, or to the extent that this definition includes entities or persons who are not a party to this lawsuit.
- 19. Fortress objects to Intel's definition of "Related Patent" as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information that is neither relevant to this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress further objects to Intel's definition of the term as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it describes information beyond what is available from a reasonable inquiry of Fortress's employees and a OBJECTION'S AND RESPONSES TO INTEL

 CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF SURPOENA TO

reasonable search of Fortress's documents in those locations and files that are readily identifiable as those that would have responsive information.

- 20. Fortress objects to the definitions of "Asserted Patents" and "Related Patents" as overbroad and unduly burdensome, on the ground that they purport to include "foreign patent and patent application for which any applicant is also a named inventor on any Asserted Patent and that concerns the same or similar subject matter as any Asserted Patent, including any continuation, continuation in part, divisional, provision, reexamination, reissue, or any other patent or patent application, whether domestic or foreign (including rejected, abandoned, or pending applications)" of the asserted patents. Fortress will interpret "Asserted Patent" to mean only the eight patents asserted in this action. Fortress will interpret "Related Patent" in its common, ordinary sense, i.e., a patent or application that is related to one of the eight Asserted Patents by a parent-child relationship or by issuing from a common parent application, or a foreign equivalent.
- 21. Fortress objects to the definition of "Interest" as overly broad and unduly burdensome as it is defined without reasonable limitation.
- 22. Fortress objects to the definition of "Other Portfolio Patent(s)" overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information that is neither relevant to this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress further objects to Intel's definition of the term as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it describes information beyond what is available from a reasonable inquiry of Fortress's employees and a reasonable search of Fortress's documents in those locations and files that are readily identifiable as those that would have responsive information. Fortress will interpret "Other Patent(s)" as covering patents or applications assigned to VLSI Technology by any of the entities listed in the definition.
- 23. Fortress objects to Intel's definition of "Prior Art" to the extent that it calls for a legal conclusion, and because it is vague and ambiguous. The identification of any document or information in response to any Interrogatory or Request seeking information concerning "Prior Art" shall not be deemed an admission concerning the nature of such document or information.

24.	Unless other	rwise indicated,	any response	or objection t	that references t	he
production of	f "documents"	applies with eq	ual force to an	y request for	the production	of "things."

	25.	Fortress reserves the right to supplement or amend its objections and productions
any 1	response	in light of further Orders of the Court, arguments of any party, stipulations or
agre	ements re	garding the discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI"), or in light of the
recei	ipt of disc	covery or evidence that is not yet in its possession.

OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

REQUEST NO. 1:

All Documents, Communications, and Things referring or Relating to the acquisition, sale, license, assignment, or enforcement of the Asserted Patents or Related Patents.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 1:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents, Communications, and Things," and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it will produce relevant non-privileged and responsive documents within its possession, custody, or control (to the extent that such documents exist and are located after a reasonable search).

REQUEST NO. 2:

All Documents, Communications, and Things referring or Relating to efforts to acquire, sell, license, assign, or enforce the Asserted Patents or Related Patents, including without limitation all Documents, Communications, and Things referring or Relating to offers to sell, license, or assign, or negotiations or settlement discussions concerning, any of the Asserted

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 2:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents, Communications, and Things," and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the terms and/or phrases "efforts to acquire, sell, license, assign, or enforce," "Related Patents," and "concerning." Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it will produce relevant non-privileged and responsive documents within its possession, custody, or control (to the extent that such documents exist and are located after a reasonable search).

REQUEST NO. 3:

All Documents, Communications, and Things referring or Relating to any valuation (third party or internal) of (a) the Asserted Patents; (b) the Related Patents; (c) any Asserted Patent; (d) any Related Patent; and (e) any group of patents that includes at least one of the Asserted Patents. This includes without limitation all investor presentations.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 3:

FORTRESS INVESTMENT GROUP LLC

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL 8 CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05671-BLF CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO

that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents, Communications, and Things," and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the terms and/or phrases "Related Patents," and "investor presentations." Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information

Fortress is willing to meet-and-confer with Intel regarding the production of investor presentations, the proper scope of the request, as well as whether Intel has a legal basis to request such documents via subpoena from non-party Fortress. Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it will otherwise produce relevant non-privileged and responsive documents within its possession, custody, or control (to the extent that such documents exist and are located after a reasonable search).

REQUEST NO. 4:

All Documents, Communications, and Things referring or Relating to any valuation (third party or internal) of any Other Portfolio Patent(s).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 4:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL

9

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05671-BLF

Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents, Communications, and Things," and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the terms and/or phrases "valuation," and "Other Portfolio Patent(s)." Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress is willing to meet-and-confer with Intel regarding the subject matter of this request, as well as whether Intel has a legal basis to request documents via subpoena from non-party Fortress.

REQUEST NO. 5:

Documents sufficient to Identify the three Persons at Fortress most knowledgeable about the transfer of the Asserted Patents or Related Patents to VLSI.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 5:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the terms and/or phrases "Related Patents." Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity. Fortress further objects to this

4 5

3

6 7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

request to the extent it seeks to obligate Fortress to generate documents "sufficient to Identify" or reach a conclusion about the "Persons at Fortress most knowledgeable."

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it is unaware of documents in its possession, custody, or control that are responsive to this request.

REQUEST NO. 6:

All Documents referring or Relating to Your interactions with NXP, Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., and/or Michael Stolarski regarding any potential transaction concerning the Asserted Patents, any group of patents that includes at least one of the Asserted Patents, Related Patents, or any Other Portfolio Patent(s), including but not limited to patent analyses, why these particular patents were discussed and/or selected for discussion, valuations, and/or estimates or projections of potential financial returns.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 6:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the terms and/or phrases "Related Patents," "Other Portfolio Patent(s)," and "patent analyses." Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it will produce non-privileged and responsive documents reasonably related to the subject matter of this action within its possession, custody, or control (to the extent that such documents exist and are located after a reasonable search).

REQUEST NO. 7:

All Documents Relating to the Interest of Fortress in this Litigation and/or the Asserted Patents, including estimates or projections regarding potential royalty returns or other income from the Asserted Patents.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 7:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the terms and/or phrases "estimates or projections." Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress is willing to meet-and-confer with Intel regarding the subject matter of this request, the proper scope of the request, as well as whether Intel has a legal basis to request such documents via subpoena from non-party Fortress.

REQUEST NO. 8:

All Documents Relating to funding, financing, or investing in VLSI, CF VLSI Holdings LLC, this Litigation, the enforcement of the Asserted Patents, and/or the maintenance of the Asserted Patents, including but not limited to all agreements concerning the same.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 8:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the terms and/or phrases "funding, financing, or investing" and "maintenance." Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress is willing to meet-and-confer with Intel regarding the subject matter of this request, the scope of the request, as well as whether Intel has a legal basis to request documents via subpoena from non-party Fortress.

REQUEST NO. 9:

All Documents Relating to the relationship between Fortress, on the one hand, and NXP and/or Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., on the other hand.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 9:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the terms and/or phrases "relationship." Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it will produce relevant non-privileged and responsive documents within its possession, custody, or control (to the extent that such documents exist and are located after a reasonable search).

REQUEST NO. 10:

Documents sufficient to show Your corporate structure, including all funds and subsidiaries, and Your relationship (including but not limited to all financial arrangements) to CF VLSI Holdings LLC and/or VLSI.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 10:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05671-BLF OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL 14 CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO

8

1	1
1	2

10

13

14

15

16

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the terms and/or phrases "corporate structure." Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress is willing to meet-and-confer with Intel regarding the subject matter of this request, the scope of the request, as well as whether Intel has a legal basis to request such documents via subpoena from non-party Fortress.

REQUEST NO. 11:

Documents Relating to Your strategy for investing in patents and/or litigation, including but not limited to Documents provided to or presented to investors or prospective investors, as well as Documents describing any analyses conducted and/or criteria used in making decisions whether to invest in patents and/or litigation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 11:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05671-BLF 15

Fortress objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the terms and/or phrases "strategy for investing in patents and/or litigation," and "analyses conducted and/or criteria used in making decisions whether to invest in patents and/or litigation." Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress is willing to meet-and-confer with Intel regarding the subject matter of this request, as well as whether Intel has a legal basis to request such documents via subpoena from non-party Fortress.

REQUEST NO. 12:

All Documents Relating to or constituting Communications between or among Fortress, NXP, Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., VLSI, CF VLSI Holdings LLC, and/or Michael Stolarski Relating to any Other Portfolio Patent(s), any Asserted Patent(s), or any Related Patent(s) (including but not limited to any discussion concerning licensing or ownership); this Litigation; or Intel.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 12:

FORTRESS INVESTMENT GROUP LLC

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it will produce non-privileged and responsive documents reasonably related to the OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL

CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05671-BLF CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

documents exist and are located after a reasonable search).

REQUEST NO. 13:

All Communications with Michael Stolarski, VLSI, and/or CF VLSI Holdings LLC.

subject matter of this action within its possession, custody, or control (to the extent that such

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 13:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Communications" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it will produce non-privileged and responsive communications reasonably related to the subject matter of this action within its possession, custody, or control (to the extent that such documents exist and are located after a reasonable search).

REQUEST NO. 14:

All Communications with any Entity or Person purporting to be VLSI's legal counsel, including without limitation Bunsow De Mory LLP.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 14:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05671-BLF 17

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Communications" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress is willing to meet-and-confer with Intel regarding the subject matter of this request, as well as whether Intel has a legal basis to request such documents via subpoena from non-party Fortress.

REQUEST NO. 15:

All Documents, Communications, and Things referring or Relating to the circumstances by which Fortress and/or any owner of the Asserted Patents or Related Patents first became aware of any alleged, actual, or perceived infringement of the Asserted Patents or Related Patents involving Intel or Intel components or products.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 15:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents, Communications, and Things" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05671-BLF OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL 18

14

9

10

15 16 17

19 20

18

21

23

22

24 25

26

27

because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the terms and/or phrases "Related Patents." Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it will produce relevant non-privileged and responsive documents within its possession, custody, or control (to the extent that such documents exist and are located after a reasonable search).

REQUEST NO. 16:

All Documents Relating to claims and/or potential claims in the Litigation, including the estimated value of the Litigation, the potential damages recovery, the infringement allegations and theories, any analysis of potential invalidity, enforceability, or other defenses, and any assessment of purported strength or weakness associated with the Litigation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 16:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress is willing to meet-and-confer with Intel regarding the subject matter of this request, the proper scope CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05671-BLF OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL 19 CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO

3

of the request, as well as whether Intel has a legal basis to request such documents via subpoena from non-party Fortress.

REQUEST NO. 17:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Documents sufficient to Identify the three Fortress employees, officers, outside counsel, and/or other personnel most knowledgeable regarding any license, sub-license, settlement, covenant not to sue, or any other agreement, or offers for the same, under which any owner of the Asserted Patents or Related Patents has granted or received, or offered to grant or sought to obtain, any rights concerning the Asserted Patents, including without limitation any and all royalty or other payments made or to be made under such license, sub-license, settlement, covenant, or other agreement or offer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 17:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the terms and/or phrases "Related Patents." Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity. Fortress further objects to this request to the extent it seeks to obligate Fortress to generate documents "sufficient to Identify" or reach a conclusion about the "personnel most knowledgeable."

27

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it is unaware of any documents in its possession, custody, or control that are responsive to this request.

REQUEST NO. 18:

All Documents Relating to or analyzing the value of, or purporting to value, any patent or group of patents owned or licensed by Fortress, CF VLSI Holdings LLC, or VLSI.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 18:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress is willing to meet-and-confer with Intel regarding the subject matter of this request, the proper scope of the request, as well as whether Intel has a legal basis to request such documents via subpoena from non-party Fortress.

REQUEST NO. 19:

All Documents Relating to any legal proceeding or any threatened legal proceeding—including, but not limited to any lawsuits, judicial proceedings, arbitrations, patent interference proceedings, appeal proceedings, reissue or reexamination proceedings, or patent oppositions or

cancellation proceedings—Relating to each and any of the Asserted Patents and/or Related Patents.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 19:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous with respect to the terms and/or phrases "Related Patents." Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it will produce relevant non-privileged and responsive documents within its possession, custody, or control (to the extent that such documents exist and are located after a reasonable search).

REQUEST NO. 20:

All Documents, Communications, and Things referring or Relating to any involvement by Fortress and/or Michael Stolarski in the formation of VLSI and/or CF VLSI Holdings LLC.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 20:

FORTRESS INVESTMENT GROUP LLC

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL

22

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05671-BLF CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents, Communications, and Things" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it will produce relevant non-privileged and responsive documents within its possession, custody, or control (to the extent that such documents exist and are located after a reasonable search).

REQUEST NO. 21:

All Documents, Communications, and Things referring or Relating to any Fortress employee, officer, or director's status, including without limitation Eran Zur's status, as an "authorized signatory" for VLSI and/or CF VLSI Holdings LLC.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 21:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents, Communications, and Things" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05671-BLF 23

because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress is willing to meet-and-confer with Intel regarding the subject matter of this request, the proper scope of the request, as well as whether Intel has a legal basis to request such documents via subpoena from non-party Fortress.

REQUEST NO. 22:

All agreements between Fortress, on the one hand, and VLSI and/or CF VLSI Holdings LLC, on the other hand.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 22:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All agreements" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress is willing to meet-and-confer with Intel regarding the subject matter of this request, the proper scope of the request, as well as whether Intel has a legal basis to request such documents via subpoena from non-party Fortress.

REQUEST NO. 23:

All agreements between Michael Stolarski, on the one hand, and Fortress, VLSI, and/or CF VLSI Holdings LLC, on the other hand.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 23:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All agreements" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it will produce non-privileged and responsive documents reasonably related to the subject matter of this action within its possession, custody, or control (to the extent that such documents exist and are located after a reasonable search).

REQUEST NO. 24:

All Documents Relating to the Asserted Patents.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 24:

Fortress incorporates by reference its General Objections. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks the production of documents or materials that are or may be privileged or subject to work product protection, including because those privileges or protections may be held by entities other than Fortress. Fortress objects to the extent that this request seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party to the litigation, or is not reasonably CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05671-BLF OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL 25

6

7 8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

24 25

23

26

calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fortress objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because it seeks "All Documents" and is not limited in time or subject matter. Fortress also objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and imposes unnecessary expense to Fortress, including because of the breadth of the requested materials and documents. Fortress objects to the extent that this request purports to require the production of information already produced by another entity.

Subject to the foregoing and without waiver of its general or specific objections, Fortress responds it will produce relevant non-privileged and responsive documents within its possession, custody, or control (to the extent that such documents exist and are located after a reasonable search).

1	Dated: January 11, 2018	Respectfully Submitted,
2		By:/s/ Craig Allison
3		by
4		Henry C. Bunsow (SBN 60707) Denise De Mory (SBN 168076)
5		Craig Y. Allison (SBN 161175) John Bevnon (SBN 233581)
6		Dino Hadzibegovic (SBN 267489) Bunsow De Mory LLP
7		701 El Camino Real Redwood City, CA 94063
8		Telephone: (650) 351-7248 Facsimile: (415) 426-4744
9		Email: hbunsow@bdiplaw.com Email: ddemory@bdiplaw.com Email: callison@bdiplaw.com Email: jbeynon@bdiplaw.com Email: dhadzibegovic@bdiplaw.com
10		Email: jbeynon@bdiplaw.com Email: dhadzibegovic@bdiplaw.com
11		Counsel for Non-Party FORTRESS INVESTMENT GROUP LLC
12		FORTRESS INVESTMENT GROUP LLC
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20	ODJECTIONS AND DESPONSES TO DITE	04 CENO 2.17 m. 05(71 DI

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVI	CE
2	I am employed in the County of San Mateo, State of Ca	alifornia. I am over the age of 18
3	and not a party to the within action. My business address is 70	1 El Camino Real, Redwood City,
4	4 CA 94063.	•
5		in said action the within:
6	6	
7	OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTEL CONTROL SUBPOENA TO FORTRESS INVESTME	
8	by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope(s) a	ddressed as stated below and
9	causing such envelope(s) to be deposited in the following man	ner.
10	10	
11	Dominic E. Massa (MA SBN 564694) Kathryn Zal	wyn (SBN 244180) ewski (SBN 263119)
12	Louis W. Tompros (MA SBN 657791) Evelyn Chi-	ot (SBN 267694) Lin Mak (SBN 258086)
13	WILMERHALE LLP 60 State Street Sharchun De WILMERHA	ennis Wang (SBN 261296) LLE LLP
14	Boston, Massachusetts 02109 950 Page M Telephone: (617) 526-6556 Palo Alto, C	
15	Facsimile: (617) 526-5000 Telephone:	(650) 858-6000
16	Email: dominic.massa@wilmerhale.com Email: mark	650) 858-6100 selwyn@wilmerhale.com
17	Email: Jordan.nirscn@wilmernale.com Email: katni Email: louis.tompros@wilmerhale.com Email: liv.h	yn.zalewski@wilmerhale.com erriot@wilmerhale.com
18	Dovid Charles Marcus (SPN 158704) Email: evely	n.mak@wilmerhale.com is.wang@wilmerhale.com
	WILMERHALE LLP 250 S. Grand Avanua Suita 2100 Amanda Lai	gh Major (DC SBN 495968)
19	Los Angeles, CA 90071 WILMERHA	
20	Fax: 213-443-5400 Washington Email: david.marcus@wilmerhale.com	, DC 20006
21	Fax: 202-66	3-6363
22	Email: amar	nda.major@wilmerhale.com
23	23	
24	24 (MAIL) I am readily familiar with this firm's properties. Under that reports	
25		nary course of business. I am awar
26	that on motion of party served, service is presur date or postage meter date is more than 1 day at	<u> </u>
27	affidavit	L

1 2 3 4		maintained by Federal Express, or driver authorized by said exp copy of the foregoing document express service carrier, addresse	depositing in a box or other facility regularly an express service carrier, or delivering to a courier ress service carrier to receive documents, a true in sealed envelopes or packages designated by the ed as stated above, with fees for overnight delivery g such envelope(s) to be delivered by said express
5		(PERSONAL SERVICE) I caus the offices of each interested pa	sed each such envelope to be delivered by hand to rty.
6 7 8 9		agreement of the parties to acce caused the documents to be sent I did not receive, within a reaso	ISMISSION) Based on a court order or an pt service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed above. nable time after the submission, any electronic the transmission was unsuccessful.
10	I de	clare under negalty of negitary that I	am employed in the office of a member of the bar
11			s made and that the foregoing is true and correct.
12 13		cuted on January 11, 2018, at Redw	
14		Jessika Sprague	/s/ Jessika Sprague
15		(Type or print name)	(Signature)
10			
	V		
16	V		
17	V		
17 18	V		
17 18 19	V		
17 18 19 20	V		
17 18 19 20 21	V		
17 18 19 20 21 22	V		
117 118 119 220 221 222 223	V		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	V		
117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225	V		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	V		